

UNITED STA S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/299,562 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 04/27/99 **HEGEDUS** L 3347-101P RAYMOND C STEWART HM22/0930 EXAMINER BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP P 0 BOX 747 HAMUD, F FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1646 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

09/30/99

File GPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/299,562

Applicant(s)

Hegedus et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit



T7	Fozia Hamud	1646
Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 5, 1999		
☐ This action is FINAL .		·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 A shortened statutory period for response to the	it for formal matters, prosecution	n as to the merits is aloned
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is so is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failuation to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extermination of the state of this communication.	et to expire	
Disposition of Claims		Providenta (I
Of the above, claim(s)	& /are pe	nding in the application.
——————————————————————————————————————		
☐ Claim(s)	is/a	re allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/a	re rejected.
X Claims 4 44	in/a	
Application Papers	are subject to restriction	Or election requirement
		siocaon requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	cted to by the Examiner.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is approved disa	approved.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
Acknowledgement is made of a claim (
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED and its content of the CERTIFIED an	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	f the priority documents have be	en
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	nber)	
received in this national stage application from the I *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for decrease.	International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	•	. ,,
tachment(s)	v under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	•
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	s)	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent As at the Patent Process of the Process of the Patent As at the Patent Paten		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
The state of the s		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING DAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/299,562

Art Unit:1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 1-23, 30-41, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an active substance and a plasma protein, and a method of treatment using said pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Group II. Claims 24-29 and 41, drawn to a process for the preparation of a pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 530, subclass 412.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the pharmaceutical composition of Group I can be prepared by materially different process by following different synthesis and purification protocols.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has prima facie shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/299,562

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 6-8, 10-20, 23, 27-30, 32-37, 39, 41 are directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, i.e. plasma protein fractions (claims 6, 10-20, 29, 32-37), water-insoluble active substances (claims 7), active substances (claims 8, 30, 39), protein-stabilizing agents (claims 23, 28), solvents (claim 27),

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-5, 9, 21-22, 24-26, 31, 38 and 40 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit:1646

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an 3. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fozia Hamud whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Hutzell, can be reached on (703) 308-4310.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4227. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Fozia Hamud Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646 September 24, 1999

Prema News PRIMARY EXAMINER